

Chapter

Global Corporate Social Responsibility: The Significance of International Guidelines and Standards

*Monument Thulani Bongani Makhanya,
Makhosazana Faith Vezi-Magigaba and Sipho David Nkonde*

Abstract

This chapter focuses on the critical role international norms and standards play in shaping global Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices. As global firms operate in multiple jurisdictions, adherence to a single set of values becomes increasingly important. This chapter examines the effects of important frameworks such as ISO 26000, the UN Global Compact, and GRI Standards on CSR implementation around the world. It analyses their global effectiveness in encouraging sustainable business practices, stakeholder engagement, and accountability procedures. Furthermore, the chapter elucidates the difficulties in establishing consistent CSR outcomes across diverse cultural, legislative, and economic environments. This chapter contributes to a better understanding of how standardised CSR frameworks support responsible business conduct in an increasingly linked global setting by shedding light on the diverse influence of international norms. The methodology used in this chapter was secondary sources, and the acquired data was examined utilising text content to derive significant insights. Eight recommendations are put forward by this chapter on how companies or businesses ought to follow the CSR guidelines and standards as well as seven recommendations for future research direction.

Keywords: corporate social responsibility, international standards, businesses, stakeholders, frameworks

1. Introduction

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has gained significance in the corporate sector as organisations recognise the necessity of sustainable practices and ethical behaviour [1]. The importance of international rules and standards in developing CSR initiatives cannot be overstated. Corporate Social Responsibility is the incorporation of social, environmental, and ethical factors into company operations with the goal of making a positive influence on society whilst minimising negative externalities [2].

According to ElAlfy et al. [3], CSR has historically grown from focusing only on philanthropic initiatives and now include a holistic approach to business sustainability. The advent of global CSR indicates a growing realisation that organisations must address the impact of their actions across borders and cultures.

According to Wirba [4] to properly execute corporate social responsibility (CSR), organisations need to adhere to several international norms and regulations. Human rights, labour practices, environmental sustainability, fair business practices, consumer issues, and community involvement are all highlighted in the extensive guidelines on social responsibility provided by ISO 26000. Ten fundamental principles that address labour, human rights, the environment, and anti-corruption are aligned with business strategy by the United Nations Global Compact [5]. Aiming to prevent harm and promote sustainable development, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises offer guidelines for ethical corporate behaviour. Organisations can report on their social, environmental, and economic consequences using a commonly used framework provided by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) [6].

Dartey-Baah and Amoako [7] claim that global rules and standards are essential for advancing corporate social responsibility (CSR) in all corporate sectors. Firstly, they support moral conduct and openness. These frameworks establish a norm for organisations to follow and aim for greater ethical standards by providing explicit rules and recommendations. Secondly, they also improve stakeholder relations and the reputation of the company. Respecting international standards shows a dedication to ethical corporate conduct, which enhances public confidence and draws in socially concerned stakeholders. Thirdly, by encouraging businesses to reduce their environmental impact and take part in eco-friendly projects, these principles support sustainable environmental practices. Fourthly, international standards guarantee the well-being of workers and their human rights, safeguarding their rights, encouraging ethical work practices, and preventing exploitation, finally, by encouraging partnerships and organisations to give back to society, by supporting social growth and community participation.

International guidelines have limitations and face difficulties despite their importance. Implementation is hampered by differences in the legal, cultural, and regulatory environments [8]. It is possible that guidelines will need to be modified and adjusted when used in diverse settings. Additionally, a lack of knowledge, ability, or dedication on the part of organisations may result in the implementation gaps and discrepancies. In addition, the lack of robust enforcement methods makes it difficult to fully adhere to recommendations. Businesses may place a higher priority on immediate financial gain than long-term sustainability [9]. Finally, the self-reporting aspect of CSR activities raises trust and verification concerns that could fuel suspicion [10]. It is against this background that this chapter explores the critical role international norms and standards play in shaping global Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices.

2. Methodology

This chapter utilised secondary sources as methodology. Secondary sources are essential to research because they offer important background knowledge, perspectives, and context for the topic being examined. Those who did not actually take part in the event or study under examination produced these sources, but they have

analysed and interpreted primary materials to offer a critical viewpoint [11]. The capacity to establish the validity and dependability of the material being presented is one of the primary advantages of employing secondary sources in research. When it comes to historical event analysis, for example, primary sources may be constrained or biased, whereas secondary sources might provide a more impartial and balanced perspective. Because these materials are frequently published by respectable publishers and undergo peer review, they can be trusted and relied upon [12]. Additionally, secondary sources give readers a more comprehensive grasp of the subject being studied. Scholars could investigate many perspectives, hypotheses, and analyses put out by other writers. This makes it possible to analyse the topic thoroughly and holistically, which aids in the development of more insightful and complex findings by the researchers. Researchers can find common themes, patterns, and trends by looking at several secondary sources, which may not be obvious when simply looking at main sources [13]. Utilising secondary sources also has the benefit of being more convenient in terms of availability and accessibility. However, secondary materials are frequently widely accessible to scholars through online platforms, databases, and libraries. They enable time and effort savings in the study process by enabling researchers to collect a substantial amount of data in a shorter amount of time [14]. Researchers can also find areas that require more research and fill up knowledge gaps by using secondary sources. Research topics that remain unanswered can be found or new research directions can be investigated by looking at the work done by previous researchers. Expanding on prior research and bringing new ideas or viewpoints to the topic can help the field of study advance [15].

Cheng and Phillips [11] suggest that it is imperative to recognise, that there are drawbacks to using secondary sources in research. The possibility for errors or prejudices in the secondary sources' author's interpretation of the original materials is one drawback. Although secondary sources are thought to be trustworthy and thoroughly researched, researchers still need to assess the material they find critically and verify its veracity by cross-referencing it with other sources. Furthermore, using only secondary sources may lead to a lack of originality in the research. Although secondary sources are useful as a foundation, researchers must use primary sources in their study to validate and authenticate their conclusions. Primary sources allow researchers to explore raw data or firsthand recollections, which add depth and uniqueness to research.

To that effect, the utilisation of secondary sources by the researcher enabled the synthesis of pre-existing knowledge and insights from a range of experts and organisations, thereby offering a thorough foundation on the crucial role of international guidelines and standards in shaping global Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices. The researcher was able to track the development of CSR guidelines throughout time, thus offered the researcher a comprehensive historical backdrop. This perspective was essential to comprehending the ways in which these principles have impacted and moulded CSR practices around the world. The acquired data was examined utilising text content to derive significant insights.

3. Global corporate social responsibility conceptualisation

Corporate Social Responsibility from an international viewpoint considers the worldwide impact of company operations. It entails tackling social and environmental

challenges in various countries as well as ensuring that economic activities are carried out responsibly and sustainably [4]. According to Khuong et al. [16], the understanding that companies have a social and environmental obligation that extends beyond their direct stakeholders is one of the fundamental components of global corporate social responsibility. Due to the interdependence of the world economy, businesses frequently have a significant impact on the environment and communities in the nations in which they conduct business. As a result, it is crucial that companies think about the worldwide ramifications of their activities as well as the international setting. CSR programmes attempt to address a variety of social and environmental problems on a global scale. This entails dealing with problems including corruption, human rights violations, poverty, inequality, and climate change. Since these problems are interrelated, finding lasting solutions frequently calls for international cooperation. To combat climate change, for instance, businesses, governments, and civil society organisations worldwide must work together to cut greenhouse gas emissions and switch to clean energy sources [16].

Respect for regional cultures and practices as well as cross-cultural knowledge are important aspects of CSR from an international perspective. Businesses that operate internationally need to modify their corporate social responsibility (CSR) plans to fit the unique social and environmental circumstances of each location. This calls for interacting with regional stakeholders, learning about the goals and requirements of the community, and designing CSR programmes to successfully meet those needs [17]. Farooq and Liu [18] add that a firm operating in a developed country might concentrate on concerns like workplace diversity, climate change, and ethical supply chain management, whereas a company operating in a developing country might prioritise projects that support economic development, health, and education. Furthermore, to handle complex global challenges, the international perspective of CSR highlights the significance of forming alliances and working together across borders and industries. To build a sustainable and just future, governments, corporations, nonprofits, and civil society groups must collaborate to take advantage of their unique advantages and assets. Global corporate social responsibility (CSR) is greatly aided by international organisations like the World Bank and the United Nations, which created standards, promoted international discourse, and advised governments and corporations [18].

Numerous global frameworks and programmes have been established to motivate companies to use ethical practices internationally. One such programme that aims to encourage social and environmental responsibility in company activities worldwide is the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC). This is a voluntary programme that asks businesses to match their plans and practices in the areas of labour, anti-corruption, human rights, and the environment with ten globally recognised principles. Companies pledge to put these ideas into practice and report on their progress by joining the UNGC [5].

The International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) established the ISO 26000 guiding standard, which is another significant international framework. This standard gives businesses guidelines and best practices for putting CSR into practice. It addresses many different subjects, such as sustainable environmental practices, consumer issues, community development, and fair operating practices. Business understanding of social and environmental responsibility is aided by ISO 26000, which also offers a framework for ongoing improvement [19].

International norms and certifications have been developed in addition to these frameworks to encourage sustainable industrial practices and responsible supply

chain management. A fair-trade certification, for instance, guarantees that goods come from suppliers adhere to the norms of fair trade, which include competitive pricing, respectable working conditions, and environmental sustainability. Similar to this, items made of wood and paper bearing the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification guarantee that they originate from properly managed forests and support the preservation of biodiversity and the welfare of forest populations [20]. The global viewpoint on corporate social responsibility (CSR) emphasises the part that companies play in advancing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The United Nations adopted the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), a series of 17 objectives, to address the social, economic, and environmental issues that the world faces. To help accomplish the SDGs, businesses are urged to match their CSR initiatives with them. For instance, a business may prioritise SDG 1 (no poverty) by putting policies in place that encourage the development of jobs and economic inclusion in developing nations, or it could support SDG 13 (climate action) by cutting emissions and encouraging the use of sustainable energy [16].

4. Global standards and guidelines for business conduct

Businesses have a crucial role in the development of technology, job creation, economic expansion, and global civilisation. Given their significant influence on several stakeholders, it is imperative to set global principles and standards pertaining to corporate conduct. These rules ensure that businesses behave morally, sensibly, and sustainably, which eventually promotes justice, accountability, and trust [21]. Below are such standards and guidelines:

4.1 Global compact of the United Nations (UNGC)

In 2000, the United Nations launched the voluntary UN Global Compact, which encourages companies all over the world to implement sustainable and socially conscious practices. United Nations Global Compact urges businesses to match their actions with widely recognised values by presenting ten guiding principles that address labour, human rights, the environment, and anti-corruption. Reiterating sustainable development goals, fostering collaborations, and promoting good governance are all made possible for enterprises that follow UNGC standards [5].

Companies who sign up for the Global Compact pledge to respect and advance the 10 principles, which are drawn from several international agreements and declarations. These principles are discussed in detail below:

Human rights—Companies should make sure that they are not involved in violations of human rights and that they support and uphold the protection of internationally recognised human rights within their area of influence [22]. *Labour*—Companies should protect the freedom of association and the realisation of the right to collective bargaining. They should also do away with child labour, all types of forced or compelled labour, and discrimination in the workplace [23]. *Environment*—Companies should stimulate the development and adoption of environmentally friendly technologies, support the adoption of a precautionary approach to environmental concerns, and take proactive measures to promote environmental responsibility [24]. *Anti-corruption*—Companies should take steps to prevent corruption within their own organisation and fight corruption in all of its manifestations, including bribery and extortion [25]. *Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)*—Through partnerships

and ethical business practices, businesses can help accomplish the SDGs by aligning their operations and plans with their more general goals [26].

Human rights in supply chain—In their supply chains, businesses should uphold and defend human rights. They should also take action to stop and resolve violations of these rights, such as child labour and forced labour [27]. *Labour in supply chain*—Companies should make efforts to guarantee that workers are treated fairly and have safe and healthy working conditions, as well as to eradicate child labour, forced labour, and other types of labour exploitation within their supply chains [28]. *The environment in supply chain*—Companies ought to encourage eco-friendly activities in their supply chains, such as cutting greenhouse gas emissions, preserving resources, and managing environmental hazards [29]. *Anti-corruption in supply chain*—Companies should take steps to stop corruption in their supply chains, such as putting anti-corruption rules into place, educating suppliers on moral business conduct, and cultivating open and honest communication [30]. *Collaborations for the Promotion of Sustainable Development*—To achieve sustainable development objectives, companies should form cooperative alliances. These alliances should involve working with civil society organisations, governments, and other stakeholders to address common social and environmental issues [31].

4.2 Social responsibility: ISO 26000

In 2010, the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) issued the ISO 26000 standard, which outlines social responsibility criteria. Whilst ISO 26000 is voluntary, it helps organisations implement socially responsible practices such as human rights, labour practices, environmental sustainability, fair operating practices, consumer issues, and community involvement. This standard assists businesses in demonstrating their commitment to ethical behaviour and transparency whilst considering social and environmental concerns [19].

The purpose of the international standard ISO 26000 is to assist organisations in successfully incorporating social responsibility into their operations and strategy. By using ISO 26000, businesses can integrate socially conscious behaviour into their core beliefs and operational procedures, promoting long-term environmental, social, and economic growth [32].

This ISO 26000 standard, can be applied by all kinds of organisations, is based on seven principles: accountability, transparency, ethical behaviour, respect for stakeholder interests, respect for the rule of law, respect for international norms of behaviour, and respect for human rights. It is made to function in all organisational and cultural contexts. In addition, the standard aims to advance a shared comprehension of social responsibility. As a result, ISO 26000 is implemented within the current framework of society, environment, law, culture, politics, and organisation, which is established by accepted or expected standards, economic circumstances, and environmental factors as well as local, regional, and national behavioural norms [33].

The standard offers direction regarding: “Concepts, terms and definitions related to social responsibility; Background, trends and characteristics of social responsibility; Principles and practices relating to social responsibility; Core subjects and issues of social responsibility; Integrating, implementing and promoting socially responsible behaviour throughout the organisation and, through its policies and practices, within its sphere of influence; Identifying and engaging with stakeholders; and Communicating commitments, performance and other information related” [34].

To substantiate the above information, the ensuing case studies offer valuable perspectives on the implementation of ISO 26000 by notable entities as ABN AMRO, Nokia, Coca-Cola, the National Bank of Abu Dhabi (NBAD), and Patagonia. These examples highlight the numerous ways in which ISO 26000 can be utilised to produce positive social and environmental impact, enhance stakeholder participation, and foster sustainable business practices.

ABN AMRO—ABN AMRO engaged stakeholders, such as employees, customers, and shareholders, in addition to aligning their CSR strategy with ISO 26000. This allows them to obtain feedback and make sure that their practices reflect the expectations and values of various stakeholders. This case study highlights how crucial stakeholder participation is in successfully putting ISO 26000 principles into practice [35]. *Nokia*—Nokia's sustainability framework, which is based on ISO 26000, includes both its internal business operations and its product line. They focused on lowering the environmental impact of their gadgets, such as improving energy efficiency and minimising e-waste. The incorporation of sustainability into product design and development is emphasised in this case study as a fundamental component of ISO 26000 implementation [36]. *Coca-Cola*—The company's "Live Positively" sustainability approach was developed in part because of its adoption of ISO 26000. Global issues like water scarcity, climate change, and human rights violations were the focus of this strategy. Coca-Cola worked with its suppliers to make sure that its value chain was built on ethical sourcing methods. The aforementioned case study showcases the possibility of big businesses utilising ISO 26000 as a structure to tackle intricate societal problems [37]. *The National Bank of Abu Dhabi (NBAD)*—As part of its ISO 26000 implementation, NBAD supported financial inclusion by offering services to marginalised communities and launching campaigns to teach clients about responsible money management. This case study demonstrates how financial institutions can use ISO 26000 to support social and economic development, especially in emerging nations [38]. *Patagonia*—Patagonia's adoption of ISO 26000 underscored its dedication to equitable labour practices and transparent supplier chains. Their achievement of Business Corporation certification, the first for an outdoor wear brand, further affirmed their dedication to social and environmental performance. This case study demonstrates how ISO 26000 can help businesses get certified and prove that they care about sustainability in addition to meeting legal obligations [39].

4.3 The organisation for economic cooperation and development multinational enterprise guidelines

The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) established standards to promote ethical business practices on a worldwide scale. These guidelines include recommendations for multinational corporations in the areas of human rights, labour rights, environmental protection, bribery, and disclosure. The OECD commits to putting these suggestions into action, urging firms to follow local laws and international standards whilst also contributing to sustainable development within their areas of influence [6].

The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)—The Global Reporting Initiative provides reporting formats to assist organisations in properly revealing their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. Businesses can use GRI guidelines to examine and explain their impacts on stakeholders, aligning strategy with global sustainability agendas. The Global Reporting Initiative fosters transparency, allowing investors, customers, and other stakeholders to assess corporate behaviour and

make informed decisions more correctly [40]. *Social Accountability- SA8000*—Social Accountability International (SAI) created the globally accepted SA8000 certification standard. It offers a framework that businesses can use to guarantee moral working conditions, just labour laws, and observance of employees' rights. The SA8000 accreditation is a mark of a company's dedication to workers' welfare, which includes providing them with safe working conditions, fair compensation, and the ability to form associations [41]. *The Guiding Principles of the United Nations on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)*—The Guiding Principles of the United Nations on Business and Human Rights, which were adopted in 2011, delineate the obligations that businesses have with respect to human rights throughout their supply chains and activities. The United Nations General Principles (UNGPs) underscore the obligations of states to safeguard human rights, corporations to uphold human rights, and the necessity of granting those harmed by economic activities access to redress. These guidelines make it easier to include human rights concerns into risk management procedures and business strategy [42].

5. International corporate behaviour standards: the adoption

Latapí et al. [43] claim that international norms on corporate behaviour have changed throughout time in response to the increasingly complex difficulties and demands imposed on firms. These rules are crucial for organisations to operate ethically, sustainably, and responsibly. Businesses are realising the value of ethical behaviour and responsible practices, which has led to a steady increase in the adoption of international standards on corporate behaviour worldwide. This adoption trend has been influenced by several variables.

First, stakeholders—investors, customers, workers, and civil society—are becoming more conscious of the effects of company operations. Organisations are adopting international standards to manage risks and stakeholder expectations as a result of stakeholders' growing demands for organisations to operate in a transparent, accountable, and sustainable manner [4]. Second, many nations have regulatory structures that either mandate or reward adherence to international standards. Businesses are now required by law to conform to these standards due to the introduction of laws and regulations by governments. In order to assure compliance and reduce threats to their reputation and legal standing, organisations are encouraged by this legal force to adopt and apply these standards [44]. Third, the acceptance of international norms has been further accelerated by the rise of responsible investment practices. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations are becoming more and more important to institutional investors when making investment decisions. Therefore, businesses that adhere to globally accepted norms enjoy a competitive edge in luring capital and upholding long-term sustainability [45].

Furthermore, the necessity for international standards has increased due to the interdependence of global supply chains. Since multinational companies and their suppliers frequently conduct business in several jurisdictions, adhering to internationally recognised standards is essential for preserving reputation, opening new markets, and maintaining commercial connections [46]. Finally, organisations can actively promote responsible business practices because many international standards are voluntary. Companies show their dedication to moral behaviour by upholding these standards, which improves their reputation and brand value [4].

6. Impact of guidelines on the CSR performance business strategies

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) guidelines are essential in determining how businesses operate and how their strategies are shaped. CSR guidelines offer frameworks that businesses can use to match their actions to moral, social, and environmental principles [43]. The section below shows how this impacts businesses.

Corporate Social Responsibility guidelines act as a guide for businesses as they create and carry out their CSR plans. These recommendations offer a set of best practices and guiding principles to assist organisations in incorporating social, environmental, and ethical factors into their main lines of business. Examples of extensive frameworks that assist businesses in forming their CSR programmes are the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, ISO 26000, and the United Nations Global Compact [47]. Companies can identify and rank important areas of attention, such as labour practices, environmental sustainability, human rights, and anti-corruption initiatives, by implementing CSR principles. These principles assist organisations in developing policies, implementing programmes, and defining clear objectives that are in accordance with the larger environmental and societal problems [48].

Thorisdottir [18] adds the fact that corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) guidelines impact firms' adherence to conscientious business practices, laying the groundwork for moral behaviour and sustainability. The expectations pertaining to matters such as community engagement, fair labour practices, environmental impact minimisation, and supplier chain transparency are outlined in these recommendations. Businesses are more likely to act proactively to solve social and environmental issues if they follow these rules. Furthermore, CSR guidelines frequently stress the value of stakeholder engagement, enticing businesses to work with local communities, clients, staff, and non-governmental organisations. Organisations can better understand stakeholders' expectations and allocate resources to address important issues by incorporating them in decision-making processes. By fostering an environment of openness, reliability, and responsibility, this strategy improves a company's reputation whilst lowering reputational risks [49].

Complying with corporate social responsibility guidelines can enhance an organisation's overall performance and accountability. Long-term sustainability, risk management, and innovation are all facilitated by the incorporation of CSR into corporate plans. Businesses that place a high priority on social and environmental responsibility frequently see improvements in customer loyalty, staff involvement, and brand reputation [50]. Another important area that is impacted by CSR regulations is risk management. Businesses may steer clear of expensive legal ramifications, harm to their reputations, and interruptions to operations by putting policies in place to mitigate social and environmental risks. In order to provide accountability to stakeholders, CSR rules compel organisations to establish strong frameworks for tracking, reporting, and assessing their performance [51].

Companies are pushed by CSR guidelines to adopt sustainability as a core business tenet. These recommendations push businesses to take proactive measures to solve social and environmental issues, going above and beyond mere compliance. Organisations may minimise their negative effects on the environment, use fewer resources, and promote ethical patterns of production and consumption by including sustainability practices into their CSR plans [52]. Responsible investment practices are also encouraged by CSR guidelines. Businesses that follow these recommendations have a higher chance of drawing in socially conscious investors (SRIs) who take

environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects into account. These kinds of investments frequently offer long-term security and funding availability for long-term expansion [53]. Adopting CSR rules also stimulates innovation and pushes businesses to create goods and services that meet social demands and advance sustainable development objectives. Long-term viability, revenue growth, and competitiveness are all fuelled by this innovation-focused approach [16].

7. Limitations

This chapter may be biased towards companies who declare their CSR activities freely, i.e., companies that are more proactive or well-known in their CSR efforts. This can result in an overrepresentation of corporations with strong CSR programmes, creating a biased view of worldwide CSR practices. Furthermore, the majority of CSR research that is currently available was carried out in developed nations, which may not accurately reflect the opportunities and difficulties experienced by businesses operating in developing nations. To fully reflect the range of corporate social responsibility practices and the effects of global guidelines and norms, further research from various global contexts could be included.

8. Recommendations

Companies or businesses need to follow the CSR guidelines and standards and the following recommendations to all companies are therefore made by this chapter.

Companies ought to first assess where they stand with their present CSR procedures and make necessary improvements. Aspects including the influence on the environment, supply chain transparency, labour practices, and community involvement should all be considered in this assessment. They further need to set measurable CSR goals that are consistent with international norms and rules, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. These objectives should be detailed, actionable, and time-bound to establish a road map for progress. Additionally, they must involve stakeholders both inside and outside the organisation to develop a thorough understanding of the global CSR practices of the business. NGOs, communities, suppliers, workers, and customers might all fall under this category. Frequent communication and feedback loops enhance transparency and aid in identifying important areas of concern.

Companies should make sure that their supply chain complies with ethical sourcing guidelines, which cover things like respecting workers' rights, paying fair compensation, and maintaining the environment, and perform routine supplier audits and inspections to ensure adherence to these guidelines. Moreover, they should educate and teach staff members about corporate social responsibility (CSR) ideas to encourage moral behaviour and social responsibility. To foster a giving culture, encourage their participation in volunteer programmes and community service projects. In addition, they should encourage staff members to create original projects and ideas that advance sustainability in the business's operations and provide rewards for eco-friendly behaviour and investigate joint ventures with universities or start-ups developing sustainable products.

Furthermore, companies should establish an all-inclusive framework for CSR reporting that quantifies the economic, social, and environmental impact of the firm

and share this information frequently with all relevant parties to demonstrate the company's dedication to global corporate social responsibility, such as shareholders, clients, and staff. Additionally, they should establish strategic alliances with governmental and non-governmental groups to benefit from their knowledge and resources. Effectively addressing global concerns and bringing about significant change can be achieved through collaborative projects. By keeping up with evolving global trends and best practices, CSR practices should always aim to improve and evaluate the company's strategies on a regular basis and make necessary adjustments to meet new possibilities and difficulties. Finally, companies should report on CSR activities with transparency, take responsibility for any unfavourable effects, and foster transparent communication with all parties involved, welcome input, and adapt as necessary.

9. Conclusion

This chapter concludes that the significance of sustainability practices and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the modern, interconnected, and globalised society cannot be emphasised. Companies from all sectors of the economy are realising how important it is to include social, ethical, and environmental factors in their business plans. But in the absence of global norms and rules, the efficacy and application of CSR practices can differ greatly throughout nations and businesses. International standards and guidelines are essential in forming CSR practices because they offer a shared framework, encourage accountability and consistency, promote teamwork, and push for ongoing progress.

First of all, global norms and guidelines offer a uniform foundation for cross-border CSR activities. Setting up a set of universal guidelines for corporate social responsibility (CSR) projects becomes crucial for businesses that operate across national borders, each with its own distinct legal, social, and cultural settings. These principles, regardless of location, assist businesses in understanding the standards and obligations for ethical business practices. International guidelines ensure that organisations' CSR practices are consistent and coherent by offering a clear framework. This helps companies connect their actions with global goals and values.

Furthermore, global standards and guidelines encourage responsibility and uniformity in CSR activities. Companies show that they are committed to ethical business practices by following these rules. A company's CSR actions may be assessed and evaluated by stakeholders, including investors, consumers, and civil society organisations, thanks to this dedication to transparency. International norms guarantee that businesses offer thorough and comparable information about their social and environmental performance through standardised reporting and disclosure obligations. Making educated decisions is made possible by the accountability and transparency that foster confidence amongst stakeholders.

Moreover, cooperation and harmonisation of CSR practices are facilitated by global standards and guidelines. Businesses, non-governmental organisations, and governments must all work together to address complex sustainability issues by taking coordinated action. A forum for discussion and cooperation is offered by international guidelines, which unite various stakeholders to tackle common issues and share optimal methodologies. Companies may interact with stakeholders, create alliances, and promote sustainable development together more effectively if they follow these rules. Greater influence and transformation are made possible by this collaboration, which quickens the adoption of CSR practices.

International norms and guidelines also address particular issues and encourage regional CSR projects. Every nation and town have unique economic, social, and environmental conditions that present opportunities and difficulties for corporate social responsibility. International guidelines offer direction on how to customise CSR programmes to meet local demands whilst accounting for these differences. Companies are encouraged to consider the social and cultural peculiarities of the areas in which they conduct business by abiding by these standards, which will foster inclusivity, respect for human rights, and the development of strong bonds with the local community. Since projects are adapted to the unique requirements and goals of communities, this localisation of CSR practices guarantees significant and long-lasting impact.

Finally, international norms and guidelines promote innovation and ongoing development in CSR practices. CSR practices need to adapt to new difficulties, shifting social norms, and environmental challenges in a world that is changing quickly. Companies can evaluate their performance, pinpoint areas for development, and implement novel strategies by comparing them to international criteria. Through a continuous pursuit of the elevated benchmarks established by these recommendations, organisations can maintain a competitive edge and foresee forthcoming obstacles. Companies are able to adapt proactively to societal and environmental changes and innovate CSR practices as a result of this dedication to continual development.

9.1 Implications and application of the findings

Research on global corporate social responsibility (CSR) has important ramifications and applications for companies all over the world. International rules and regulations are essential in forming corporate social responsibility (CSR) policies and guaranteeing moral conduct in the business sector. Businesses can enhance their image, promote sustainable development, and enhance societal well-being by following these rules.

9.2 Key implications

Companies that follow international CSR norms and standards are more likely to receive good feedback from stakeholders such as customers, investors, and employees. This can help improve brand reputation and attract socially concerned customers. Additionally, businesses that adopt CSR best practices can detect and reduce potential risks such as regulatory fines, lawsuits, and brand damage. Companies that adhere to international standards can better handle difficult legal and ethical hurdles.

Furthermore, adopting CSR efforts can help organisations get a competitive advantage in the market. Consumers are increasingly looking for products and services from socially responsible organisations, and companies that prioritise CSR are likely to outperform their competition. In addition, CSR programmes are crucial for advancing social justice, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. Businesses can support the long-term prosperity and well-being of present and future generations by coordinating their operations with international principles.

9.3 Applications of the findings

In terms of applicability, firms can leverage global CSR findings in the following ways:

Companies can create and apply ethical principles to govern their operations and decision-making processes. Businesses that incorporate worldwide CSR criteria into their policies can assure compliance with global best practices. In addition, engaging with stakeholders, employees, customers, suppliers, and local communities, is critical for developing trust and proving commitment to CSR. Businesses can use global CSR research to inform their stakeholder engagement initiatives and build beneficial partnerships.

Transparency is essential when proving CSR performance and responsibility. Companies can utilise worldwide standards to measure their CSR initiatives and development. Transparent reporting promotes credibility and confidence amongst stakeholders. CSR is an ongoing process, and firms should strive for continuous improvement in their processes. Companies that stay up to date on the latest global CSR research and findings can adjust and modify their strategy to meet shifting expectations and growing problems.

9.4 Future research

Future research into the significance of international guidelines and standards in global corporate social responsibility (CSR) could focus on seven major areas.

Firstly, Research could investigate the elements that promote or impede the acceptance and implementation of international norms and standards like the United Nations Global Compact, ISO 26000, and others. This could entail looking into the motives of organisations in various nations and industries to embrace these recommendations, as well as identifying challenges and enablers to their effective implementation.

Secondly, researchers could analyse the effectiveness and influence of international guidelines and standards on enhancing CSR activities around the world. This could include assessing how these rules influence company behaviour, promote sustainable development, and solve societal and environmental issues. Research can also investigate the impact on stakeholder views, corporate reputation, and financial performance.

Thirdly, it could focus on topics such as conformity with international rules and standards, as well as accountability measures. This could involve evaluating the extent to which organisations abide by these principles, the problems they experience in achieving the criteria, and the efficacy of monitoring and reporting methods in encouraging transparency and accountability.

Fourthly, it could also look at how companies incorporate international rules and standards into their entire company plans and decision-making processes. This could entail investigating the causes, challenges, and consequences of the integration process, as well as developing best practices for effective integration across industries and organisation sizes.

Fifthly, it should investigate how international norms and guidelines promote cooperation and engagement amongst governments, corporations, civil society organisations, and other stakeholders. This study could examine the dynamics of partnerships and multi-stakeholder efforts and how well they work to promote CSR objectives.

Sixthly, it could look at the internal and external variables that influence the importance and effects of global norms and guidelines. This can entail looking into how corporate culture, investor pressure, industry conventions, consumer needs, and regulatory frameworks influenced the adoption and application of these guidelines.


Seventhly, conducting comparative analyses amongst diverse nations, sectors, and corporate sizes may yield valuable insights into the differing implications and consequences of global rules and standards. This can entail examining the contextual elements that affect these rules' acceptance, application, and efficacy in various contexts.

Author details

Monument Thulani Bongani Makhanya*, Makhosazana Faith Vezi-Magigaba and
Sipho David Nkonde
University of Zululand, South Africa

*Address all correspondence to: makhanyamt@unizulu.ac.za

IntechOpen

© 2024 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Makhanya MTB. Corporate social responsibility in the South African public sector: A focus on ethical responsibility. Politeia, ISSN 0256/8845 (online and Print). 2023. DOI: 10.25159/0256-8845/13863
- [2] Yuen KF, Lim JM. Barriers to the implementation of strategic corporate social responsibility in shipping. The Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics. 2016;32(1):49-57. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajsl.2016.03.006
- [3] ElAlfy A, Palaschuk N, El-Bassiouny D, Wilson J, Weber O. Scoping the evolution of corporate social responsibility (CSR). Research in the sustainable development goals (SDGs) era. Sustainability. 2020;12(14):5544. DOI: 10.3390/su12145544
- [4] Wirba AV. Corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of government in promoting CSR. Journal of Knowledge Economics. 2023;1-27. DOI: 10.1007/s13132-023-01185-0
- [5] United Nations Global Compact. The ten principles of United Nations global compact. 2019. Available from: https://www.glencore.com/dam/jcr:9c8b54cd-bfaa-4942-9946-9c1cc1ffc5a0/UNGC_CoP_2019_FINAL.pdf [Accessed: November 13, 2023]
- [6] Organisation for Economic Corporation and development. The United Nations global compact and the OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises: Complementarities and distinctive contributions. 2005. Available from: <https://www.oecd.org/corporate/mne/34873731.pdf> [Accessed: November 12, 2023]
- [7] Dartey-Baah K, Amoako GK. Global CSR, drivers and consequences: A systematic review. Journal of Global Responsibility. 2021;12(4):416-434. DOI: 10.1108/JGR-12-2020-0103
- [8] Aguilera-Caracuel J, Guerrero-Villegas J, Vidal-Salazar MD, Delgado-Márquez BL. International cultural diversification and corporate social performance in multinational enterprises: The role of slack financial resources. Management International Review. 2015;55:323-353. DOI: 10.1007/s11575-014-0225-4
- [9] Cho SJ, Chung CY, Young J. Study on the relationship between CSR and financial performance. Sustainability. 2019;11(2):343. DOI: 10.3390/su11020343
- [10] Johnson Z, Ashoori MT, Lee YJ. Self-reporting CSR activities: When your company harms, do you self-disclose? Corporate Reputation Review. 2018;21:153-164. DOI: 10.1057/s41299-018-0051-x
- [11] Cheng HG, Phillips MR. Secondary analysis of existing data: Opportunities and implementation. Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry. 2014;26(6):371. DOI: 10.11919/j.issn.1002-0829.214171
- [12] Johnston MP. Secondary data analysis: A method of which the time has come. Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries. 2014;3(3):619-626. SSN2241-1925
- [13] Wickham Ritta J. Secondary analysis research. Journal of advance practice. Oncologia. 2019;10(4):395-400. DOI: 10.6004/jadpro. Epub 2019 Mar 1
- [14] Perez-Sindin X. Secondary Data: Sources, Advantages and Disadvantages. Silver Oakes: SAGE Publication Inc.; 2017. DOI: 10.4135/9781483381411.n557

- [15] Kalu AOU, Unachukwu LC, Ibiam O. Accessing secondary data: A literature review. 2019
- [16] Khuong MN, Truong NK, Hang TTT, Adeola O. Stakeholders and corporate social responsibility (CSR) programme as key sustainable development strategies to promote corporate reputation—Evidence from Vietnam. *Cogent Business & Management*. 2021;8(1):1917333. DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2021.1917333
- [17] Fallah S, Niloufar NM-K, Alavi S, Zahed MA. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) as a framework for corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Sustainability*. 2022;14(3):1222. DOI: 10.3390/su14031222
- [18] Farooq Q, Hao Y, Liu X. Understanding corporate social responsibility with cross-cultural differences: A deeper look at religiosity. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. 2019;26(4):965-971. DOI: 10.1002/csr.1736
- [19] Thorisdottir TS, Johannsdottir L. Corporate social responsibility influencing sustainability within the fashion industry. A systematic review. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(21):9167. DOI: 10.3390/su12219167
- [20] Mook A, Overdevest C. Fairtrade credentialism: Towards understanding certified producer organizations' perceptions of fairtrade as a credential. *Globalizations*. 2020;17(1):110-125. DOI: 10.1080/14747731.2019.1638113
- [21] Nygaard A. Is sustainable certification's ability to combat greenwashing trustworthy? *Frontiers in Sustainability*. 2023;4:1188069. DOI: 10.3389/frsus.2023.1188069
- [22] D'Cruz P, Shuili D, Ernesto Noronha K, Parboteeah P, Trittin-Ulbrich H, Whelan G. Technology, megatrends and work: Thoughts on the future of business ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2022;180(3):879-902. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-022-05240-9
- [23] Addo MK. The reality of the United Nations guiding principles on business and human rights. *Human Rights Law Review*. 2014;14(1):133-147
- [24] Naidoo M, Gasparatos A. Corporate environmental sustainability in the retail sector: Drivers, strategies and performance measurement. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018;203:125-142. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.253
- [25] Peltier-Rivest D. A model for preventing corruption. *Journal of Financial Crime*. 2018;25(2):545-561. DOI: 10.1108/JFC-11-2014-0048
- [26] Porter LE, Graycar A. Hotspots of corruption: Applying a problem-oriented policing approach to preventing corruption in the public sector. *Security Journal*. 2016;29:423-441
- [27] Mattera M, Ruiz-Morales CA. UNGC principles and SDGs: Perception and business implementation. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. 2021;39(2):249-264
- [28] Nolan J, Bott G. Global supply chains and human rights: Spotlight on forced labour and modern slavery practices. *Australian Journal of Human Rights*. 2018;24(1):44-69
- [29] Outhwaite O, Martin-Ortega O. Worker-driven monitoring—redefining supply chain monitoring to improve labour rights in global supply chains. *Competition & Change*. 2019;23(4):378-396
- [30] Arnette AN, Brewer BL, Choal T. Design for sustainability (DFS): The

intersection of supply chain and environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2014;**83**:374-390

[31] Compact, Global, and Network Germany. Preventing Corruption in the Supply Chain. Germany: Alliance for Integrity; 2018

[32] Vazquez-Brust D, Piao RS, de Melo MFS, Yaryd RT, Carvalho MM. The governance of collaboration for sustainable development: Exploring the “black box”. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2020;**256**:120260

[33] Chakroun S, Salhi B, Amar AB, Jarbouai A. The impact of ISO 26000 social responsibility standard adoption on firm financial performance: Evidence from France. *Management Research Review*. 2020;**43**(5):545-571

[34] Sethi SP, Rovenpor JL, Demir M. Enhancing the quality of reporting in corporate social responsibility guidance documents: The roles of ISO 26000, global reporting initiative and CSR-sustainability monitor. *Business and Society Review*. 2017;**122**(2):139-163

[35] Zu L. ISO 26000. In: Idowu SO, Capaldi N, Zu L, Gupta AD, editors. *Encyclopedia of Corporate Social Responsibility*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2013. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-28036-8_251

[36] ABN AMRO Bank NV. Human Rights Report. Amsterdam: ABN AMRO Bank N.V; 2022. Available from: https://assets.ctfassets.net/1u811bvgvthc/DJ2luR6Luk4gy0vpHqXZp/bbae98ca816b61efe381cd8150b9d740/ABN_AMRO_-_Human_Rights_Report_2022.pdf [Accessed: January 2, 2024]

[37] Nokia. Environment. Available from: <https://www.nokia.com/about-us/>

sustainability/environment/ [Accessed: January 2, 2024]

[38] Torres CAC, Garcia-French M, Hordijk R, Nguyen K, Olup L. Four case studies on corporate social responsibility: Do conflicts affect a Company's corporate social responsibility policy? *Utrecht Law Review*. 2012;**8**(3):51-73. Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2182495>

[39] The National Bank of Abu Dhabi (NBAD). Sustainability report of 2011. 2015. Available from: <https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/36176085/sustainability-report-2011-national-bank-of-abu-dhabi> [Accessed: January 3, 2024]

[40] Patagonia. Fair labour practices. Available from: <https://www.patagonia.com/our-footprint/fair-labor-association.html> [Accessed: January 3, 2024]

[41] Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and The Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). A practical guide to sustainability reporting using GRI and SASB standards. 2021. Available from: <https://www.globalreporting.org/media/mlkjpnl1/gri-sasb-joint-publication-april-2021.pdf> [Accessed: November 11, 2023]

[42] Sugianto S, Soediantono D. Literature review of ISO 26000 corporate social responsibility (CSR) and implementation recommendations to the Defense industries. *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research*. 2022;**3**(2):73-87. DOI: 10.7777/jiemar.v3i2.276

[43] Wubeshet T. Holding corporations liable for human rights abuses committed in Africa: The need for strengthening domestic remedies. *African Human Rights Yearbook*. 2022;**6**:227-246. DOI: 10.29053/2523-1367/2022/v6a10

- [44] Agudelo L, Andrés M, Jóhannsdóttir L, Davídsdóttir B. A literature review of the history and evolution of corporate social responsibility. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*. 2019;**4**(1):1-23. DOI: 10.1186/s40991-018-0039-y
- [45] United Nations Human Rights. The corporate social responsibility to respect human rights. 2012. Available from: https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/publications/hr.pubB.12.2_en.pdf [Accessed: November 17, 2023]
- [46] Merker CK, Peck SW, Merker CK, Peck SW. ESG Challenges. In: *The Trustee Governance Guide: The Five Imperatives of 21st Century Investing*. Switzerland: Springer, International Publishing; 2019. pp. 123-128. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-21088-5_15
- [47] Serfati C, Sauviat C. The impact of global supply chains on employment and production system: A summary. 2018. Available from: https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/--inst/documents/publication/wcms_648885.pdf [Accessed: November 16, 2023]
- [48] Kloppers HJ. Driving corporate social responsibility (CSR) through the companies act: An overview of the role of the social and ethics committee. *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal/Potchefstroomse Elektroniese Regsblad*. 2013;**16**(1):165-199. DOI: 10.4314/pelj.v16i1.6
- [49] Lu J, Ren L, Qiao J, Yao S, Strielkowski W, Streimikis J. Corporate social responsibility and corruption: Implications for the sustainable energy sector. *Sustainability*. 2019;**11**(15):4128. DOI: 10.3390/su11154128
- [50] Taghian M, D'Souza C, Polonsky M. A stakeholder approach to corporate social responsibility, reputation and business performance. *Social Responsibility Journal*. 2015;**11**:340-363. DOI: 10.1108/SRJ-06-2012-0068
- [51] Pfajfar G, Shoham A, Małecka A, Zalaznik M. Value of corporate social responsibility for multiple stakeholders and social impact – Relationship marketing perspective. *Journal of Business Research*. 2022;**143**:46-61. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.051
- [52] Johnston A, Amaeshi K, Adegbite E, Osuji O. Corporate social responsibility as obligated internalisation of social costs. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2021;**170**:39-52. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-019-04329-y
- [53] Zhao J. Reimagining corporate social responsibility in the era of COVID-19: Embedding resilience and promoting corporate social competence. *Sustainability*. 2021;**13**(12):6548. DOI: 10.3390/su13126548